

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TRENTON LYDDEL GABRIEL

Plaintiff,

v.

KILOLO KIJAKAZI, acting
Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

CASE NO.: 1:21-cv-00390-GSA

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT
EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE
RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Defendant moves for an unopposed 35-day extension of time to August 4, 2022 to file a response to Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment due to counsel's workload. This is Defendant's first extension.

When considering whether good cause exists to modify a scheduling order, courts consider the foreseeability of the impediment to meeting the deadline and the party's diligence in seeking the extension once it became apparent they could not meet the deadline. *See Sharp v. Covenant Care LLC*, 288 F.R.D. 465, 467 (S.D. Cal. 2012); *Ordaz Gonzalez v. Cty. of Fresno*, No. 1:18-CV-01558-BAM, 2020 WL 2539287, at *2 (E.D. Cal. May 19, 2020). The Court has the inherent power to manage its own docket to achieve an orderly and expeditious resolution of cases. *Southern California Edison Co. v. Lynch*, 307 F.3d 794 (9th Cir. 2002).

Counsel identified reasonably sufficient cause for the extension and sought it sufficiently in advance of the deadline.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that Defendant's deadline to file a response to Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment is extended to and including August 4, 2022. All other deadlines

1 are adjusted accordingly.
2
3

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: June 24, 2022

/s/ Gary S. Austin
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE